Playing Motion Activity Model Development to Improve Early Childhood Creative Thinking
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Creativity is a comprehensive concept involving complex cognitive processes such as perception, sensitivity and flexibility, which are commonly used in our daily lives. The design of this study is adapted from the model of Educational Research and Development, and features expert assessment of five key components, or activities, of the proposed model. Instrument validation was achieved with results showing 3 activities (60%) to be very valid, 2 activities (40%) to be moderately valid and no activities as invalid. Following validation, pre- and post-testing was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the model’s activities on creative thinking skills in children aged 4-5 years. This study is expected to develop the play motion activities learning model to enhance early childhood creative thinking.
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INTRODUCTION
In early childhood, learning is a process of interaction between children, parents, or other adults in an environment aimed at achieving developmental tasks. Ineraksi built a factor for the achievement of learning goals focused on this interaction to gain meaningful experiences so that learning can take place smoothly.

Early childhood development is very influential in progressing to the next stage of life and in increase productivity within this next period (Semiawan 2008). Children’s abilities must therefore be adequately developed to effectively handle these next stages. Such capabilities include cognitive, language, social, emotional and motion activity skills. Learning in pre-school age children is a particularly important first input and forms the basis for further education in ensuring the success of an individual (Abbas Othman, & Rahman, 2012).

Each child brings all pre-existing knowledge into new experiences. If a learning experience does not provide opportunities for children to create new knowledge, the leaning of such an experience would be boring. Conversely, if it is too foreign a learning experience for the child, then the experience could make the child anxious. Such anxiety has the potential to cause the child to withdraw or refuse to deal with the new experience. The learning experience should therefore contain some elements that are already known as well as those which are new and engaging. In such a situation, the child interested in interacting with new experiences and have the opportunity to manipulate or express.

Early childhood education is capable of maximising all aspects of development and can lead to future optimisation of such critical developmental factors as physical aspects of the motor. These physical educational aspects can thus improve motor skills, creativity and prosocial behavior in young children. Early childhood educators play an essential role in influencing the level of physical activity performed during early childhood (Martyniuk & Tucker, 2014).

Early childhood refers to a period of time determined by a child’s age and development in some kind of stimulus. This stimulus should ideally be well-planned, engaging and driven towards growth and development. Some expert opinions on early childhood are mentioned below.

The latest guidelines from the UK recommends that children of an early age (3-5 years) should participate in at least 180 minutes of physical activity at any intensity (i.e. mild, moderate or strong) every day for health maintenance, including weight, bone and heart health. Early childhood education is a very important period to promote and establish positive behaviours. Understanding the factors that can influence physical activity is very important for effective childhood development (Foweather et al., 2015).
Motor development is considered as an important factor in a child’s overall health. Despite the significance of this aspect, a general understanding of the underlying behavioural assessment of motion activity is still lacking. Various hypotheses and concepts have been introduced to explain the behaviour of motion activity. An individual’s motor activity skills are highly relevant to his or her motion activities and form basic functional movement skills (Utesch et al., 2016). The holistic development of a child, including this motor skills development as well as general physical health, cognitive growth and emotional and social support, may be the most efficient basis for well-being and academic success (Vazou, Mantis, Luze, and Krogh, 2017). Such development is particularly important for children aged 4-6, which encompasses the period defined as early childhood. This period lays the foundation of personality and is the timeframe in which the child shows the greatest advances in the mental, social and artistic fields (Yazar & Arifoglu, 2012).

In conclusion, the process of early childhood learning is crucial to an individual’s development. This period should be appropriately organised to effectively develop a child’s behaviours, knowledge, skills and creativity both in the family and in Early Childhood Education before entering primary education.

The process of determining the extent of learning motor skills and movement can be divided into: (1) the ability of the physical condition, specifically relating to the energy aspects of movement; (2) the coordination capacities depending on the central nervous system, which relates to movement control, and (3) conventional use as motor skills continue to interact with each other. The mastering of motor skills in early childhood may function as a prerequisite for the child’s physical activity participation and involvement later in life (Loprinzi, Davis, & Fu, 2015).

Learning in early childhood is often referred to as playing. Play can provide an opportunity for children to explore, discover, feel, create and learn in a fun and enjoyable way that allows for full appreciation and understanding. Playing is the best way to develop a child’s abilities, as through the process of play, children can organically discover their environment, other people and themselves. Playing affects mental and emotional maturity as well as mental development, and encourages children to feel free in finding his or her own world and to feel happy and engaged in doing so. Through these kinds of games, children learn and grow through developing ideas about their world. Play provides the opportunity to deliver and trial those ideas in a safe and fun atmosphere, which in turn develops problem solving skills and creativity. Playing is a crucial component of a child’s life and functions as a main activity in developing imagination and creativity to learn basic motor, social and emotional skills (Oncu & Unluer, 2010).

Creativity is not defined as additional developments, but rather as the whole of the environmental component and spontaneous play potential. Creativity is a fixed aspect of development, and
Environmental creative play is a form of learning in early childhood aimed at developing creative thinking.

Creative thinking is a way of generating ideas that can in some way be applied to the world (Anwar, 2012). Creative thinking is therefore the essence of creativity (Chena et al., 2015). Thinking is a cognitive process that forms new mental representations through transformation by a complex interaction of mental procedures, including consideration, abstraction, reasoning, drawing, logical problem solving, concept formation, creativity and intelligence. Three basic ideas about thinking exist within the literature: (1) cognitive thinking occurs internally through thought patterns while decisions are taken through behaviours; (2) thinking is a process that involves multiple manipulations of knowledge in cognitive systems, and (3) thinking is straightforward and results in behaviours that solve problems or otherwise assist with a solution. The elements of creativity as outlined by Fazeli & Azimi (2013) include the ability to create something new, to think of useful ideas and to uniquely perceive the environment. Teaching creative thinking may involve a variety of methods, though all with the shared goal of inspiring students’ creativity and lateral thinking abilities through educational theories, principles and strategies (Lin & Lin, 2012).

Creative thinking generates new solutions to old problems and is a unique process for each individual, especially for children. The child perceives and feels an event in his or her own way and reflects upon events within the inner psychological world with the help of outer elements like sound, colour, motion, lines and ideas. The child’s senses are therefore active in creative thinking as he or she sees, hears, feels and perceives the environment. These event and environmental perceptions may depend on the delicacy and developed, or underdeveloped, senses of the child (Yazar & Arifoglu, 2012).

Teaching children creative thinking skills is a crucial component of education. Skilled creative thinking can assist children in solving potential future problems and challenges (Zahra, Yusoooff, and Safar, 2013). According to Guilford, divergent thinking is the main component of creativity (Dziedziewicz, Gajda, & Karwowski, 2014). Divergent thinking comprises of a number of elements, including (1) fluency: the ability to come up with many ideas in a short period of time; (2) flexibility: the ability to develop qualitatively different solutions; (3) originality: the ability to produce rare and unusual ideas, and (4) elaboration: the ability to develop ideas (Dziedziewicz et al., 2014). Divergent thinking and imagination are the characteristics of creative people. Creativity can also be described as the unique discovery of an idea, which involves divergent thinking, exploration, imagination, ingenuity, innovation, intuition, invention, novelty and originality (Fazelian & Azimi, 2013).

Children’s creativity is involved in various processes and activities. Divergent thinking manifests itself in the ease of producing some of the ideas (fluency), the readiness to change the cognitive
direction and thus increase flexibility and originality of thought, and the sensitivity to interpret and elaborate on problems. Many arguments have also been made in favour of the effectiveness of divergent thinking skills, and claim that imaginative creativity is the ability to change the data provided and to remember a mental image that is new and original.

Creativity is defined Williams (Abdussalam, 2005) as an idea involving several fundamental aspects, including: (a) agility: the ability to generate ideas or questions in large amounts; (b) flexibility: the ability to produce many kinds of thinking and to move easily from the type-specific rationale to others’ perspectives and thoughts; (c) originality: the ability to think in new ways or by new expression units, and (d) elaborasi: the ability to add new details or thoughts or a particular product result.

Creativity is a way of thinking that creates something original to be recognised by other people (Kemple & Nissenberg, 2000). Creative thinking abilities exist within every child and can be stimulated through the use of basic motion activity. If a child’s ability to think creatively in understanding the form of motion activities, such as increasing the power of creativity in basic motor skills, creativity can thus be developed and used as a person’s ability to think creatively.

Activities within the working world of creative thinking may take many forms, such as an educator creating an interesting game for optimal student learning and engagement. This kind of classroom creativity can subsequently decrease feelings of boredom and monotony. Some movements that promote creativity in early childhood revolve around the manipulation of concrete materials, including colour pairing, using rope, arranging blocks, playing with balls and pairing geometric shapes.

The purpose of this research is to develop models that implement such motor movement activities to enhance creative thinking in early childhood. The Dikembakang model includes 1) creativity that will be used on kindergarten aged learners between 4-5 years; 2) games to pair flops colours; 3) games that include a rope in various ways; 4) games involving a block in various forms; 4) games that pair coloured balls, and 5) games pairing geometric shapes.

**METHOD**

The development process of this study involved two key factors, namely the research methodology and the technical process development of the learning model motion play activities. This learning model was based in research and aimed to produce motion learning play activities to increase the effectiveness of creative thinking in early childhood. The learning model process uses theories of development in constructing learning model motion play activities.

In the model, the development methodology begins with a needs analysis which is conducted in three (3) ways.: 1) an empirical analysis to identify problems in early childhood learning; 2)
identifying the needs of teachers and early childhood educators, and 3) a theoretical analysis to identify and formulate the problems faced by teachers in implementing the learning process. Through conducting this needs analysis, important characteristics of the needs or problems encountered can be determined and appropriate research methods can be constructed to solve such problems.

The findings from this analysis of needs allow for the detailed construction of a learning model that suits the characteristics of early childhood and that can be effectively implemented by the teacher. Accordingly, the proper method to solve early childhood learning problems is to study the model, research and subsequently develop required additions, and finally to test its effectiveness. This is in accordance with the opinion of Borg and Gall, who suggest that “educational research and development is a process used to develop and validate educational products” (1989, p. 624). A needs analysis was conducted in order to identify and formulate problems faced by early childhood teachers in implementing the learning process. A needs analysis can be described as the characteristics of the needs and problems faced by teachers. Appropriate research methods can then be determined and subsequently implemented to solve the problems.

The research design employed in this study was developed through a synthesis of several tested models from existing research, including the models of Ploom (2007), Kemp (2004), Hanafin and Pack (1998) and lastly Borg and Gall (1983). From careful analysis of these models, the resultant research design for the current study involves six steps formulated for the development of subject coverage of research: 1) conducting a needs analysis; 2) identifying the desired aspirational model for educators of early childhood education; 3) developing the initial, or hypothetical, model; 4) performing expert testing on this prototype; 5) conducting small-scale testing on the test use model, and 6) conducting a field trial implementation of the model using experimental research. The current research has reached stage 4, which involves expert testing and validation on the proposed model.

The development of research procedures used in this study can be performed more simply, the main steps of which include:

1. Conducting a needs analysis for data collection through the study of literature, observation, discussion and field study. This initial analysis can serve as a basis for developing prototype models of physical play motor activities to increase creative thinking in early childhood, specifically in children between 4-5 years old.
2. Identifying the desired aspirational model for early childhood educators, including the formulation of objectives and research measures. This step also involves research into the development and design of a feasibility test for play model motor movement activities in order to enhance creative thinking in children aged between 4-5 years.
3. Designing the initial product development model for early childhood playing motor movement activities. Evaluation of the mode’s required materials, learning resources and instruments will then be conducted by two early childhood learning experts, two experts physical motor professionals and one specialist in instrument evaluation.

4. Validating the initial product design through using test instruments prior to expert evaluation.

5. Based on the above data collection tools and techniques, the data analysis method used in this study comprises of a number of key elements. Firstly, a prototype development was conducted to process data from the play models motor movement activities, which aim to enhance creative thinking in early childhood. The Iken index was then employed for analytical description, the formulation for which is as follows:

\[
V = \sum s \frac{\Sigma s}{n (c-1)}
\]

Information:
- \( S = R - lo \)
- \( V = \) Index of experts regarding the validity of the agreement.
- \( r = \) Score category selection expert.
- \( lo = \) Lowest score in the scoring category.
- \( n = \) Number of experts.
- \( c = \) Number of categories to choose experts (Hendryadi, High, & Economics, 2018).

The criteria outlined in Table 1 below were used in forming the discussion points and conclusions for this study.

### Table 1. Instrument validity criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>value ( V )</th>
<th>descriptive Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; - 0.4</td>
<td>less valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 to 0.8</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8 -&gt;</td>
<td>very valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Specifically, the development leads to the formulation of product solutions from a needs analysis. Prototype specifications in the early stages of a learning model produce play motion activity planning through the Pembelaaran Model Book of motion activity. This learning model prototype must then be subjected to an evaluation phase, which is performed on the model’s research and development and determines the quality of the model’s motion play activities. Formative evaluation techniques are often performed to determine the quality of such learning models, including the use of expert assessments and reviews. This study employed such expert evaluation through various instruments on the CE2IG Learning Model and the manual motion learning model of play activities. The product quality of the CE2IG learning model is determined by several criteria, including validity, practicality and effectiveness (Akker, JV & Bannen, B., Kelly, AE, Nieven, N, 2013).

Based on the theory of motion systematic research development, the learning model product testing play activities are expected to assist early childhood teachers in improving the quality and subsequent effectiveness of the learning process. Further, effective learning impacts teacher management of the classroom learning process. Effective learning occurs when children are actively following the subject matter being taught to them as a result of the chosen learning process employed by their teacher or facilitator (Infallible, 2018).

The results of the needs analysis indicate that teachers in early childhood are largely misunderstood in the planning of learning programs. Further, the model of learning undertaken by teachers is found to focus on only a few areas of development. Finally, the analysis suggests that solutions to these problems involve improved ability in preparing lesson plans and properly implementing and assessing students’ learning, particularly in the field of motor development that can be associated with creative thinking.

The learning model development process must meet at least three criteria of validity, practicality and effectiveness. Validity is obtained if the content of the learning model is in conformity with the objectives to be achieved. Practicality is obtained if the learning model can be used easily and appropriately. Lastly, effectiveness is obtained when the learning model delivers results in accordance with the set objectives.

The learning model motion play activities was developed according to the concepts and objectives planned from the previous needs analysis and focused on improved creative thinking skills through game activities of motion. These motion play activities were created and tested by using the experimental design of pre-testing and post-testing at kindergarten institutions. Results from these tests were collated into an analytic discussion focusing on the formulated problem, namely the validity and effectiveness of the learning model. The study investigates how to play the motion
activity games for improved creative thinking in early childhood, the practicality of the model and the development of physical activity as a facilitator of early childhood creativity. Evaluation of the model was conducted by field experts to ensure the model’s validity for use with children aged 4-5 years, or group A. Five key components of the instrument were assessed by the validators, including:

a) Pairing flops colours.
b) Inserting rope in various ways.
c) Using blocks in various forms.
d) Pairing coloured balls.
e) Pairing geometric shapes.

Results of the PN assessment evaluation on the research model and its above components are presented in Table 2 below:

Table 2. Expert assessment and validation of the instrument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Instrument</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Invalid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Percentage       | 60.00     | 40.00     | 0.00     |

Table 2 indicates that of the 5 assessed components, 3 were categorised as very valid (60%), 2 were categorised as moderately valid (40%), and none were marked as invalid. These results confirm the overall model as valid for research use. Following this instrument validation, the model and its activities were applied for use with the kindergarten children over a series of 8 meetings. The results of these meetings are illustrated in Table 4:

Table 4. Test results in applying Keterlaksanaan gameplay activity motion learning model to improve creative thinking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Average %</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting 1</td>
<td>70.83</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting 2</td>
<td>73.44</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting 3</td>
<td>78.13</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting 4</td>
<td>82.29</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting 5</td>
<td>85.94</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting 6</td>
<td>86.98</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting 7</td>
<td>89.06</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting 8</td>
<td>91.67</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This data reports that the first meeting obtained an average of 70.83%; the second meeting obtained an average of 73.44%; the third obtained an average of 78.13%; the fourth obtained an average of 82.29%; the fifth obtained an average of 85.94%; the sixth obtained an average of 88.69%; the seventh obtained an average of 89.06%, and finally, the eighth meeting obtained an average of 91.67%. All meetings were subsequently categorised as very good.

A normality test for creative thinking was then conducted in small groups of 12 learners. Assessment was conducted on several aspects, including smoothness, dexterity, authenticity and elaboration each of which contained a criteria scale. Smoothness was measured according to a) very smooth, b) smooth, c) substandard, or d) not smooth; dexterity was measured as a) highly dexterous and flexible, b) flexible, c) less flexible, or d) inflexible; authenticity was assessed as a) authentic and original, b) somewhat original, c) somewhat replicated, or d) entirely mimiced, and finally, elaboration was measured as a) very developed, b) developed, c) fairly developed, or d) restricted development. The results of this normality test are depicted in Table 5:

Table 5. Normality test for creative thinking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test</th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th>Post-test</th>
<th>Residual unstandardised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Parameters, b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>0E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. deviation</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>2918</td>
<td>1.28210943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Extreme Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>.220</td>
<td>.356</td>
<td>.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>.208</td>
<td>.229</td>
<td>.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-.220</td>
<td>-.356</td>
<td>-.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z</td>
<td>.764</td>
<td>1.234</td>
<td>.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.604</td>
<td>.095</td>
<td>.848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the SPSS output as seen in Table 5, the Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) value of 0.848 is greater than the required 0.05. In accordance with the conditions of the Kolmogrof-Smirnov test for normality, it can therefore be concluded that the data was normally distributed. Thus, the assumption of normality in the regression or requirements are met.
Table 6. Paired samples test table statistics for effectiveness of creative thinking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Paired samples statistics</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Std. deviation</td>
<td>Std. error Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Creative thinking</td>
<td>pair 1 Pre-test</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data in Table 6 above shows the effectiveness of the paired samples statistics testing on creative thinking. The pre-test obtained a mean value of 10.17 and a standard deviation of 2.250, with a standard error mean of 0.0649. The post-test obtained a mean value of 13.83 and a standard deviation of 2.918, with a standard error mean of 0.0842. These results were used to generate the following research hypotheses regarding the learning model application for creative thinking:

Ho: There is no relationship between pre-test and post-test results in the application of the learning model motion play activities.

H1: There is a relationship between pre-test and post-test results in the application of the learning model motion play activities.

provision If Sig > α, then Ho is accepted
If Sig < α, then Ho is rejected.

Table 7. Correlations of paired sample testing on creative thinking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Paired sample correlations</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>correlati on</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Creative thinking</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in Table 7 above, results of the paired sample testing on creative thinking show a value of 0.822. As the Sig value 0.000 < α (0.05), then Ho is rejected, indicating that a significant relationship exists between the pre-test and post-test. This suggests that creative thinking with the implementation of the motion play learning activities can be significantly increased.
Table 8. Results of post- and pre-tests to determine the effectiveness of creative thinking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Paired sample test</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Std. deviation</td>
<td>Std. error</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95% Confidence</td>
<td>Interval of the Difference</td>
<td>mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Creative thinking</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>3667</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4728</td>
<td>2606</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the value sig (2-tailed = 0.00) < \( \alpha = 0.05 \) presented in Table 8 above, it can be concluded that \( H_0 \) is rejected as there are significant differences between the pre-test and post-test scores. This shows that creative thinking increased following treatment with the proposed learning model.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study aimed to develop a model of motion movement play activities to enhance creative thinking in early childhood. This model was subjected to expert evaluation based on 5 key factors, and was found to be a valid instrument for use in the research. These factors, or activities, included 1) pairing flops colours, 2) inserting rope in various ways, 3) using blocks in various forms, 4) pairing coloured balls, and 5) pairing geometric shapes. The model was then implemented in groups of kindergarten children aged 4-5 years over 8 sessions, which all produced very good value averages. Children presented happy and engaged responses during the motion play activities and even reported wanting to continue the activities during break time.

Results of the learning model testing and its effectiveness were gathered from learning process-based lesson plans and classroom management, and earned high averages across the sample groups. Procedural measurements for the model included observer selection, object selection and data analysis. The effectiveness of learning includes the targets or desires of both teachers and learners. The study’s empirical evidence for the application of the proposed learning model’s plays motion activities showed a significant positive effect on creative thinking in early childhood, thus confirming it as an effective model for achieving such educational goals and improving childhood creativity. This study presents an alternative to current play motor movement activities for early childhood students to better enhance their creative thinking skills.
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